

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

TRUSTEES OF EMPIRE STATE
CARPENTERS ANNUITY,
APPRENTICESHIP, LABOR-MANAGEMENT
COOPERATION, PENSION AND WELFARE
FUNDS,

ADOPTION ORDER
13-CV-6403 (ADS) (ARL)

Plaintiffs,

-against-

BAYWOOD CONCRETE CORP.,
Defendant.

-----X

APPEARANCES:

Virginia & Ambinder LLP

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
40 Broad Street, 7th floor
New York, NY 10004

By: Charles R. Virginia, Esq.
Richard B. Epstein, Esq.
Michael Howard Isaac, Esq., Of Counsel

The Ziskin Law Firm, LLP

Attorneys for the Defendant
6268 Jericho Turnpike, Suite 12A
Commack, NY 11725

By: Richard B. Ziskin, Esq.
Suzanne Harmon Ziskin, Esq., Of Counsel

SPATT, District Judge.

On November 15, 2013, the Trustees of Empire State Carpenters Annuity, Apprenticeship, Labor-Management Cooperation, Pension and Welfare Funds (the “Plaintiffs”) commenced this action against the Defendant Baywood Concrete Corp. (the “Defendant”) to confirm and enforce an arbitration award.

On September 2, 2015, the Court granted the Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment in the amount of \$260,499.43. In addition, the Court granted the Plaintiffs leave to make a motion seeking additional post-judgment relief in the form of attorneys' fees, costs, or interest.

On September 21, 2015, the Plaintiffs moved for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and interest.

On April 5, 2016, the Court referred the Plaintiffs' motion to United States Magistrate Judge Arlene R. Lindsay for a recommendation as to whether the Plaintiffs' motion should be granted and if so, what the award should be.

On May 17, 2016, Judge Lindsay issued a report (the "R&R") recommending that the Plaintiffs be awarded (1) additional interest on the total amount of unpaid contributions in the amount of \$52,191.97; and (2) attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$13,389.51.

It has been more than fourteen days since the service of the R&R, and the parties have not filed objections.

As such, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72, this Court has reviewed the R&R for clear error, and finding none, now concurs in both its reasoning and its result. See Coburn v. P.N. Fin., No. 13-CV-1006 (ADS) (SIL), 2015 WL 520346, at *1 (E.D.N.Y. Feb. 9, 2015) (reviewing Report and Recommendation without objections for clear error).

Accordingly, the R&R is adopted in its entirety. The Clerk of the Court is directed to amend the judgment to include the above-referenced interest and attorneys' fees. The case remains closed.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: Central Islip, New York
August 13, 2016

/s/ Arthur D. Spatt
ARTHUR D. SPATT
United States District Judge